

From: Nizar Dhanji <Nizar.Dhanji@harrow.gov.uk>

Sent: 24 May 2021 12:16

To: David Gilmour <David.Gilmour@harrow.gov.uk>

Cc: Alex Lisowski <Alex.Lisowski@harrow.gov.uk>; Ash Waghela <Ash.Waghela@harrow.gov.uk>; Muhammad Sheikh <muhammad.sheikh@harrow.gov.uk>; Ozge Albayrak <Ozge.Albayrak@harrow.gov.uk>; Jo Smith <Jo.Smith@harrow.gov.uk>; Wioletta Sheldon <Wioletta.Sheldon@harrow.gov.uk>

Subject: Dragons Lounge, 225 Kenton Lane, Harrow, Middlesex, HA3 8RP.

Dear All,

I carried out a site visit on Friday the 21st May and met the owner onsite. He has applied for a restaurant in the front of the premises and shisha at the rear.

I received a call from the designer to say that the Shisha shelter is compliant. I met with her on site today and took the attached photos.

I have sent guidance in relation to Food Safety and Shisha Compliance to the owner and have copied in planning.

I explained to the owner that he will require to comply with the 50% rule of the shelter being open and under planning that he will ne Suis Generis.

Onsite I noted the following: (See pictures attached)

- The wall by the staircase is only 1 metre away (Harrow council are adopting the 1.5 metre rule)
- Currently the stairway from the flats above is obstructed (have asked then to clear this today)
- The wall opposite the staircase is the neighbours wall and they are not considering this in their 50% rule. I have explained in the current design that the Shisha shelter will not be complaint.

I have the following comments in relation to Housing, Licencing & planning:

- There may be issues with noise and the smoke from the shisha to residents above and behind the premises.
- They have not applied for Suis Generis and the structure will need to be 50 % open.
- The stairway access is currently obstructed and would this be an issue if the shisha shelter is being built in relation to fire safety & again noise. The flat above is rented out and would there be any considerations for the access for the tenants as the shisha shelter may be open on this side to comply with the 50% rule.
- They will also need to ensure that their extraction system does not cause issues with odour and noise.

Jo would Private sector housing be able to carry out a visit and use their powers to ensure the rear exit is clear for the tenants as I did have concerns if there was a fire and this escape route is obstructed.

They have currently Put a stop to the rear shisha extension until they have spoken to their architect.

Please advise of any comments anyone has.

Kind regards

Nizar Dhanji

Senior Environmental Health Officer





QUINN

MAHOK

GENERAL PURPOSE CEMENT

BEANS & LENTILS

1000





WATERPROOFING

WATERPROOFING

INSULATION

ANNOK
CEMENT









Ash Waghela

From: Setul Mehta [REDACTED]
Sent: 28 May 2021 07:12
To: license
Subject: Re: Objection to the application of a new premises licence

Caution: This email originated outside of Harrow Council. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

Thanks Ash,

Address : [REDACTED]

Protection of public nuisance

As a result of the operating hours shared, there is an increased likelihood of noise during unsociable hours. This will be exacerbated if there is an outdoor area which will compound the noise as this is a residential area.

Having another place that allows food to be served, increases the likelihood of additional litter within the community, there are already various businesses within the immediate vicinity that are already contributing to litter. As they were doing their best to control it, one more organisation will inherently increase litter.

Finally, whilst it is a residential area and the likely customer base will be those who are walkers, there will be an inevitable increase in traffic in an already congested and pollution creating area... My question would be why compound this? Of course having any organisation, will increase the volume of traffic potentially... Having an entertainment venue is a significant difference to having say a travel agent.

Protection of children from harm

With a school containing over 800 children and 200 personnel directly behind the premises and a large existing flow of children in front of the premises, the risk of access to children is increased. The risk of access to children in itself of course is of no real relevance, but the safety measures and the increased scrutiny and there in the risk and organisation of this type brings is the concern. Through the products they will sell, there is an active risk of harm to children as opposed to a reactive risk by purchasing said products. Secondly, the influx of people brings in increasing the risk of potential individuals who are not vetted and would potentially create danger to children (of course, the opposite can be said but the purpose of this notice to raise the concerns).

Ultimately the decision is that of the local council, having engaged with members of the community, there is without a shadow of a doubt an increased sensitivity and concern with this application. It is important to state, it is the nature of the business where the concern lies not with any individual linked to the business.

Regards

Setul

On 27 May 2021, at 14:58, license <licensing@harrow.gov.uk> wrote:

Dear Setul Mehta,

Thank you for the email below.

In order to accept your representation, we will require your address.

Please could you expand on how the objectives stated below would be undermined if the licence were to be granted.

Please note that the deadline for objections is midnight tomorrow so a reply after time may not be accepted.

If you have any enquiries regarding the above, please do not hesitate to contact this authority.

Regards

Ash Waghela | Licensing Officer
Public Protection | Community Directorate

Harrow Council collects and uses personal information about you when you contact us. For more information about how we use this information please see our privacy notice at http://www.harrow.gov.uk/info/200116/media_publicity_and_web/819/privacy_and_cookies

-----Original Message-----

From: Setul Mehta [REDACTED]
Sent: 22 May 2021 16:46
To: license <licensing@harrow.gov.uk>
Subject: Objection to the application of a new premises licence

Hi,

Please accept this email as an objection to the application of a new premises licence for Sathisseelan on 225 Kenton Lane.

The basis of the application is under:

- Prevention of public nuisance
- Protection of children from harm

I understand and objection needs to follow precise rules, please could you confirm what these rules are and I shall ensure I am adhering to them.

Rs

Setul Mehta

'The information contained in this e-mail is confidential and is intended for the named recipient(s) only. If you have received this email in error please notify its originator and delete this email immediately. Unauthorised use, disclosure, copying or alteration of this message is strictly forbidden. Views expressed within this email are those of the individual and not necessarily those of Harrow Council.

Harrow Council monitors all electronic mail it receives for Policy compliance and to protect its systems including anti-spam and anti-virus measures. Electronic mail does not guarantee delivery or notification of non-delivery. Contact the intended recipient(s) by other means should confirmation of receipt be important. All traffic may be subject to recording and/or monitoring in accordance with relevant legislation.